

**SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY of
WALTER E. EDGE JR. MBA CPA
for
INTERSTATE NAVIGATION COMPANY
d/b/a The Block Island Ferry**

**Regarding Applications for
Water Carrier Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity
To Operate a Fast Ferry (1) between Point Judith and Block Island (Old Harbor),
and (2) between Newport and Block Island (Old Harbor)**

**For
INTERSTATE NAVIGATION COMPANY
DOCKET NO. D-05-06**

July 2005

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address for the record.**
2 A. My name is Walter E. Edge Jr. MBA CPA and my business address is 21 Dryden
3 Lane, Providence Rhode Island 02904.
4

5 **Q. Are you the same Walter E. Edge Jr. that has previously filed direct and
6 rebuttal testimony in this docket?**

7 A. Yes, I am.
8

9 **Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?**

10 A. I have been asked by Interstate Navigation Company (Interstate) to provide my
11 observations and comments as they relate to the prefiled rebuttal testimony of Mr.
12 Lawrence R. Kunkel for IHSF in this docket.
13

14 **Q. What observations and comments do you have relating to Mr. Kunkel's rebuttal
15 testimony?**

16 A. My first observation is that Mr. Kunkel has not responded at all to my rebuttal
17 testimony. As he did with his prefiled direct testimony that was filed after my prefiled
18 direct testimony, he offers no alternatives to my calculations or proof that any of my
19 calculations, observations or findings are incorrect. In fact, his major claim in both
20 testimonies is that IHSF will go out of business if Interstate is allowed a CPCN. If he is
21 correct and IHSF goes out of business, that would only improve profits for Interstate's
22 fast ferry operation and thereby increase the benefits to the life line and its ratepayers.
23

24 The fact that Mr. Kunkel does not challenge my calculations that show that Interstate's
25 fast ferry will make about \$500,000 of profit in the first year of operations results in my
26 testimony standing as unchallenged. The fact that Interstate's fast ferry should make
27 \$500,000 in its first full year of operation (as my uncontested calculations show) prove
28 that Interstate's decision to provide better and faster service to its own customer base, and
29 to open up two new fast ferry markets (Point Judith to Old Harbor and Newport to Old
30 Harbor), is a rational business decision.

1 As for Mr. Kunkel's rebuttal testimony, I have numerous problems with his calculations
2 and conclusions as follows:

3

4 1. Data Errors: First, when I reviewed the actual IHSF passenger surveys, I found
5 that the dates on which the surveys were taken (the few that were noted) were
6 different than the period of time stated by Mr. Kunkel on page 2 of his testimony.
7 (Please note that Mr. Kunkel's testimony had no line numbers). There was only
8 one May date shown on the surveys and that date was May 12th (before the May
9 27th through June 9th period that Mr. Kunkel said the surveys were taken).
10 Further, there were 26 dates on the surveys after June 9th. Given that there were
11 only 57 dates listed on the 300 surveys and 27 of them were outside of Mr.
12 Kunkel's range I must conclude that Mr. Kunkel's statement as to the period
13 during which the surveys were taken is in error. If Mr. Kunkel had truly reviewed
14 the surveys as he claimed he did he would have noted the obvious discrepancies in
15 the dates.

16

17 2. Numbering Errors: On page 3 of Mr. Kunkel's testimony he states that the survey
18 instruments were numbered. In fact, some of the surveys had numbers hand
19 written in the top left hand corner of the first page of the two page document,
20 while others had typed preprinted numbers in the lower right hand portion of both
21 pages of the surveys. Unfortunately, there was no sequence that showed a
22 numbering from 1 to 300. Given this weakness in the numbering sequence one
23 can not be sure that all of the surveys were accounted for and provided to
24 Interstate.

25

26 3. Duplication Errors: On page 3 of Mr. Kunkel's testimony he states that there
27 were controls over the issuance of and collection of surveys to assure that no one
28 person filled out two or more surveys. The controls that he discusses on page 3
29 apparently did not work because I found two individuals filled out multiple
30 surveys. Further, there were 65 out of 300 surveys (over 20%) that were filled

1 out anonymously, making it impossible to determine any duplication in those
2 responses.

3

4 4. Scheduling Errors: The next problem is the fact that the surveys did not address
5 the major scheduling differences between the two fast ferries. This is a significant
6 weakness that I believe renders the survey results virtually meaningless. Anyone
7 filling out the survey would have to assume (because it was not stated otherwise)
8 that both fast ferries would be available at similar times when they wanted to go to
9 the Island and therefore the choice would be simply which harbor on Block Island
10 they wanted to travel too. However, when we compare the two fast ferry
11 schedules we find that Interstate will only have one fast ferry run in prime time to
12 Block Island (11:50 am); and the other two runs to Block Island will be very early
13 in the morning (7:45 am) and in the evening (5:00 pm). I found that many of
14 those surveyed stated that the schedule was the most important thing when
15 choosing between two fast ferries. The fact that Interstate will be only running
16 three runs a day (and only one in prime time) whereas IHSF has six runs per day
17 (with two runs at prime time) I believe invalidate the results of the survey.

18

19 5. New Harbor Errors: Mr. Kunkel's percentages on page 3 of his testimony are all
20 incorrect. Sixty four of the respondents stated that they preferred New Harbor or
21 considered New Harbor one of the "important factors" for choosing IHSF. That
22 is 21% (64/300) not 13% as stated by Mr. Kunkel. Given that there were many
23 other respondents that had no harbor preference reflected on their surveys makes
24 Mr. Kunkel's conclusion that people do not use IHSF "because it travels to and
25 from New Harbor" incorrect. It is possible that many people use IHSF simply
26 because it goes to New Harbor, as the survey demonstrates.

27
28
29

1 6. Speed Errors: Mr. Kunkel states that 87% of the respondents “reported that speed
2 was the most important factor”. This is false. In fact, the survey did not request
3 that the individual rate the factors from most to least important. The survey
4 simply had the individuals check off the items that were important. Just because
5 IHSF put speed first on the check list does not mean that the individual checking
6 off speed meant that speed was the most important factor.

7 In fact, there were only 23 respondents (about 8%) that listed only speed as
8 important. Once again Mr. Kunkel has misstated the facts.

9
10 7. Preference Errors: Mr. Kunkel’s assertion that 57% of those surveyed stated that
11 they would cross the dock to Interstate’s fast ferry to Old Harbor is also wrong. I
12 found that 135 of the 300 surveyed (about 45%) checked off that they would use
13 Interstate’s fast ferry to Old Harbor. When I looked at this item a little bit closer I
14 found that 26 of the 135 (about 19%) of the respondents that checked off that
15 they would use Interstate’s fast ferry to Old Harbor over IHSF’s high speed ferry
16 to New Harbor actually would prefer Interstate over IHSF even if they were both
17 running to Old Harbor. It appears to me that these 19% of respondents are fairly
18 loyal Interstate customers that IHSF has serviced with a high speed boat for a few
19 years and that were not part of some previously unserved “niche” market. If
20 Interstate gets these customers back it would be only fitting. Further, I found that
21 37 of the 135 respondents that would switch to Interstate’s fast ferry to Old
22 Harbor provided no name on the survey. Lastly, 24 of the respondents (about
23 18%) that chose Interstate and Old Harbor over IHSF and New Harbor (after
24 rating IHSF highly) were new customers that had never gone to Block Island nor
25 ever ridden on Interstate’s boats. Yet some of those who had never ridden
26 Interstate’s boat still evaluated Interstate highly. Clearly these respondents were
27 confused as to the difference between Interstate and IHSF.

1 Also, Mr. Kunkel stated that “nearly 80% reported that they would continue to use
2 IHSF (because they preferred its service) if both Interstate and IHSF offered high
3 speed service to Old Harbor at the same price”. Once again Mr. Kunkel is in
4 error. I found that 171 of the 300 respondents stated that they would prefer IHSF
5 if they went to Old Harbor, which is about 57% of those surveyed. Mr. Kunkel
6 has exaggerated the truth by ignoring the fact that many surveyed did not answer
7 the question as to who they preferred and Mr. Kunkel’s “80% reported” implies
8 that 240 of the 300 surveyed chose IHSF which is not the case.

9

10 **Q. Does that conclude your comments on page 3 of Mr. Kunkel’s rebuttal
11 testimony?**

12 A. Yes, it does.

13

14 **Q. Mr. Edge do you have any comments regarding page 4 of Mr. Kunkel’s
15 testimony?**

16 A. Yes I do. The second question on page 4 is as follows:

17 “Q. What would the result of a loss of 57% of IHSF’s ridership be?”

18

19 This question assumes that Mr. Kunkel’s 57% is correct. The survey results do not
20 support that percentage. Further, the fact that the surveys show that 45% of those
21 surveyed would cross the dock does not result in the conclusion that 45% of IHSF’s
22 business would be lost. As stated earlier, Interstate will be running only three runs a day
23 compared to IHSF’s six runs a day. This will result in many of IHSF’s customers not
24 having Interstate’s fast ferry as an option and available to them when they want to travel
25 to the Island. The fact that this information was omitted from the survey makes the
26 results of the survey less transferable to the larger population of all IHSF’s customers.

27

28

29

1 **Q. Do you believe that the results of the survey are directly transferable to the
2 larger population of all of IHSF's customers?**

3 A. No, for the reasons stated above. Also, I found that the survey as designed requested
4 the name of the survey taker, the date that the survey was completed, and most
5 importantly the "run" the individual who completed the survey was traveling on. I found
6 that for the most part that information was simply not included on the survey.

7

8 **Q. How many of the surveys reflected the taker of the survey?**

9 A. It appears that none of the survey takers were reflected on the surveys. In a very few
10 cases the individual who was being surveyed put their initials on the survey but I saw no
11 survey takers identified on the surveys.

12

13 **Q. How many of the dates were completed?**

14 A. As stated earlier, there were 57 out of 300 with dates shown on the surveys.
15 However, it should be noted that the dates were all in May and June and IHSF carries the
16 majority of its customers in July and August, so they did not complete the survey in their
17 prime time and this survey may not be representative of IHSF's prime summer customers.

18

19 **Q. How many of the surveys had the "run" (the time of the run)?**

20 A. There were only 22 out of 300 (about 7.3%) of the surveys that reflected the run that
21 the traveler was on. Of those, only 2 surveys were clearly from the two hour peak travel
22 time of 10:00AM ~ Noon. Without the rest of the run information, one can only
23 conclude that less than 10% (2/22) of the losses would come from prime time travel when
24 IHSF is carrying the most passengers. Therefore, the percentage loss of total customers
25 will be far less than the 45% reflected in the survey results. The fact that the surveys
26 were so poorly administered has resulted in survey information that is not directly
27 transferable to the total population of all IHSF customers and cannot be used to support
28 any conclusion about customer switching.

29

1 **Q. Are there any other points that you would like to make regarding page 4 of Mr.
2 Kunkel's testimony?**

3 A. Yes. I do not believe that the "Division's manifest(ed)" any intention in its order
4 granting IHSF a CPCN to "break Interstate's monopoly stranglehold on ferry
5 transportation from the mainland Rhode Island to Block Island" as so emotionally stated
6 by Mr. Kunkel. In its order, I believe that the Division was not trying to knock down
7 Interstate's life line monopoly service to Block Island (because it still exists) but rather its
8 intent was to provide a new, faster, and better service for ratepayers and to give the
9 ratepayers choice. The Division's responsibility is to facilitate the best service for the
10 ratepayers, and to address public need and convenience, while protecting the ratepayers.
11 It is not the Division's responsibility to kill monopolies.

12
13

14 **Q. Does the fact that IHSF suffered a loss in FYE June 30, 2005 mean it is about
15 fail?**

16 A. No. I know that "normalized losses" in one year could be the result of any number
17 of activities. IHSF started a new fast ferry business in Puerto Rico in the winter.
18 Startup costs (although some can be capitalized) of a new business can be significant and
19 can result in a one year loss which will be offset by future gains. I also do not have
20 enough information to know exactly why the loss existed. Without more revenue and
21 expense information no firm conclusion can be drawn.

22

23 **Q. Mr. Edge, do you disagree with any of Mr. Kunkel's conclusions on page 5 of
24 his rebuttal testimony?**

25 A. Yes. Mr. Kunkel concludes that Interstate's plan to enter the high speed market at
26 this time could be characterized only one of two ways: irrational or predatory. Although
27 Mr. Kunkel has in the past stated that Interstate's management was acting irrationally, he
28 now concludes that Interstate's management is not irrational, therefore they must be
29 predatory. He is clearly wrong.

30

1 First, there is a rational business plan. The financial facts, which are undisputed, show
2 that Interstate's fast ferry will earn a profit in its first year of almost \$500,000. This
3 profit results from the fact that Interstate is expecting to bring into the fast ferry market
4 about 80,000 of its customers that are not having their fast ferry needs met (i.e. a niche
5 market of Interstate's loyal customers). In addition, Interstate is proposing to develop
6 two new fast ferry runs (Point Judith and Newport to Old Harbor) that are currently not
7 being serviced by fast ferry. Interstate is also proposing to improve service and hold
8 down rates for the customers of its life line service. Interstate is prepared to do this
9 without taking any of the additional profits for the owners of the company.

10

11 I do not understand why Mr. Kunkel does not see this well thought out business plan as a
12 viable reason why Interstate wants to get a fast ferry CPCN. Mr. Kunkel is so deep in his
13 own mind as to his negative variations of game theory that he can't see what Dr. Stutz
14 saw in Interstate's plan – a good business plan that is not predatory or irrational. Dr.
15 Stutz has no vested interest in the outcome of Interstate's CPCN request and can apply
16 economic theory without prejudice. He is also a Phd. Mr. Kunkel is not.

17

18 **Q. Mr. Edge, can you see a scenario that results in both Interstate and IHSF
19 coexisting in the future?**

20 A. Yes. If Interstate converts 80,000 of its own customers to preferring fast ferry
21 service, and those customers continue to travel to Block Island through Point Judith.
22 It is only reasonable to believe that some of those customers that will prefer fast ferry
23 service because Interstate has introduced it to them will find IHSF's six runs a day from
24 the Point more convenient than Interstate's three runs a day and will end up riding IHSF's
25 boat to the Island. This may be why both McDonald's and Burger King tend to build
26 their restaurants near each other.

27

28

29

1 **Q. Mr. Edge do you agree that Interstate's "ultimate economic payoff" is the
2 elimination of IHSF?**

3 A. No. Although that is a possible result, it is not Interstate's intent nor is it the reason
4 for Interstate requesting its own fast ferry CPCN. It is true, however, that businesses can
5 fail, and it is possible that IHSF will fail as a result of competition from BI Express even
6 before Interstate gets its fast ferry in the water, or that IHSF will fail as a result of
7 customers preferring to use Interstate fast ferry over IHSF's boat or as a combination of
8 both. Further, I would agree that the goal of any business is to gain market share and to
9 take that theory to its extreme (but unlikely) end would mean that a company would get
10 100% market share and its competitor would go out of business. All that said, because
11 Interstate is fully rate base rate of return regulated, Interstate's owners get no economic
12 payoff whatsoever from its proposed fast ferry CPCN (all of the additional profits will go
13 to the benefit of the life line ratepayers). Also, Interstate's owners do not get any
14 economic payoff if IHSF goes out of business (their rate of return will not change).
15 Therefore Interstate's business decisions do not take into consideration whether IHSF
16 stays in business or not. Its decisions are made for the good of Interstate's life line
17 ratepayers.

18

19 **Q. Mr. Kunkel concludes that "Interstate's intent is not necessarily to serve the
20 public needs as much as to prey upon a less powerful market rival". Do you agree?**

21 A. Absolutely not. Since Interstate's owners are not going to financially benefit from
22 its request for a fast ferry CPCN, it is obvious to me that Interstate is requesting this
23 CPCN exclusively to serve the expressed public need. There is also a need for the life
24 line customers to have a year round service to the Island to provide transportation, freight,
25 mail, etc at the lowest possible rates.

26

27 Interstate's proposal is to take all the profits from the fast ferry operation and use them for
28 the life line service, which is the public need.

29

1 IHSF, on the other hand, is only interested in its own survival, maximizing profits for its
2 owners and fighting against Interstate's proposed fast ferry service from Point Judith to
3 Old Harbor (and even from Newport to Old Harbor) even though they know that the
4 service is wanted by the public. IHSF's sole goal is to maximize profits for its owners.

5

6 **Q. Mr. Edge, do agree with Mr. Kunkel's conclusion that it might be better if**
7 **rivals for the same prize cooperate, instead of competing?**

8 A. Yes, however the two companies involved in this case are not after the same prize.
9 IHSF is only after profits while Interstate is trying to meet an expressed public need and
10 assist its life line customers with lower rates. However, if IHSF were for sale at a
11 reasonable price (say about \$4,000,000 to \$5,000,000) then it may be beneficial to
12 Interstate's lifeline ratepayers for Interstate to consider buying IHSF's vessel and CPCN.
13 However, if they were for sale at some exorbitant price (say \$7,000,000 to \$8,000,000) so
14 that IHSF's owners can put excess profits into its pockets, then the public would be better
15 served for Interstate to be given its fast ferry CPCN and let the market sort it out.

16

17 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

18 A. Yes

19

20

Review of IHSF Survey
Jun-05

Name	#	Address	BI	Often	IHSF	Int.	Rate IN	Most Imp	Rate IHSF	Most Imp	INVS	IHSF	Chose	Survey T	Date	Run
WVG Tim Palmer	1	CT	Y	10 Years	N	N	Excellent	All	Excellent	All	NA	NA	NA	MFG	June 1	NA
No Name	2	CT	Y	Every Y	Y	Y	Good	Depend	Excellent	Good	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
No Name	3	CT	N	NA	N	NA	NA	NA	Good	SCFC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
No Name	4	CT	Y	11Y ago	N	Y	Good	Sch	NA	Speed	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Joe Lennon	5	WA	N	NA	NA	N	NA	NA	Good	Speed	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Vanessa Herlity	6	WA	Y	5Y ago	Y	Y	Excellent	F	Excellent	F	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Liv Gagne	7	MA	N	NA	NA	N	NA	SPF	Excellent	SDCPF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Katie Hunt	8	NY	N	NA	NA	N	NA	NA	Good	SAFS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Bret Wydsh	9	NY	N	NA	NA	N	NA	NA	Excellent	All	SCF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
John Cooke	10	MA	Y	4 Yrs	N	Y	Y	DPAFCAS	Excellent	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Will Gates	11	RI	Y	5y	Y	Y	Good	DPand BI	Excellent	Both	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Vincent Letozia	12	RI	Y	last Y	Y	Y	Excellent	AOH	Excellent	SD	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA
Michael Brito	13	RI	Y	Often	Y	Y	poor	SC	Good	SCCSC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA
Colin Cooksey	14	Australia	N	NA	NA	N	NA	SCA	Excellent	All NH	SDCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Eric Shorr	15	RJ	Y	Yearly	N	Y	fair	SCA	Good	SDC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA
William Smyth	16	RI	Y	Once	N	Y	Y	SCPA	Excellent	SCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA
Erin Larkin	17	CT	Y	6 Times	Y	N	Y	SCP	Excellent	SCAF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA
No Name	18	CT	Y	NA	N	N	Y	F	Excellent	SCAF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA
David + Carol Murphy	19	Puerto Ricc	N	NA	N	N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Jon Wilk	20	CT	N	NA	Y	Y	Once	PS	Excellent	SCP	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
No Name	21	RI	Y	40YRs	Y	Y	Once daily	DP	Excellent	SDOPA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
No Name	22	MA	Y	Y	N	N	Y	SCFS	Excellent	SS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
No Name	23	MA	N	NA	NA	N	NA	NA	Excellent	SCF	NA	NA	NA	G Canton	June 5	NA
No Name	24	NY	N	NA	NA	N	NA	NA	F	S	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Yynn McKenna	25	WA	N	NA	NA	N	NA	NA	Excellent	SDC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Kathleen Corcoran	26	MA	Y	Once	NA	N	Y	PFC	Excellent	SCAb	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Olga Pinedor	27	MA	N	NA	Y	N	Good	C	Good	SC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
M Ullion	28	CT	N	Y	Often	N	Y	Excellent	SDCF	Excellent	SPA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Peter Conelly	29	CT	N	Y	NA	N	Y	S car	Excellent	SDCF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
CJ Carrenti	30	RI	Y	12 years	Y	N	Y	AFS	Excellent	SCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA
No Name	31	MA	N	NA	Y	N	Y	Excellent	SDCFCA	Excellent	SDCFCA	Int	IHSF	EM	June 3	?
Eric Mumson	32	RI	Y	work	Y	N	Y	Good	SC	Good	SC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
No Name	33	CT	Y	LY	Y	NA	Y	NA	Excellent	SDFCAs	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA
No Name	34	CT	Y	NA	Y	NA	Y	fair	SDCA	Excellent	SDFCAs	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA

Name	#	Address	BI	Often	IHSF	Int.	Rate IN	Most Imp	Rate IHSF	Most IN	Imp	VS	IHSF	Chose	Survey T	Date	Run	
No Name	35	CT MA	N	NA	N	N	Good	DCAFCAS	Good	CAFCAS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	June 4	NA		
Gable Saad	36	CT CT	Y	Once	Y	N	Good	P	F	SC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	June 5	NA		
Gerry	37	NY	Y	Once	Y	N	NA	NA	Good	SCFC	Pref IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	June 5	NA		
Ivy Morin	38	MA	Y	Once	Y	N	NA	NA	Excellent	SCFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	June 5	NA		
Jack Doran	39	MA	Y	Once	Y	Y	Good	PAAS	Excellent	SCA	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	June 5	NA		
Matin + Donna	40	MA	Y	Often	Y	Y	Good	DPS	Excellent	SCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	June 4	6:30		
Jeff Werner	41	MA	N	NA	N	Y	Good	PA	Excellent	SCS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
No Name	42	RI	Y	Yearly	N	Y	Excellent	S	Excellent	S	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Mark Vaillancourt	43	BI	Y	NA	Y	Y	Good	DPS	Good	SCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	June 4	NA		
Cy Cybulski	44	RI	Y	Life	Y	Y	Excellent	PA	Excellent	SC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	June 4	NA		
No Name	45	MA	Y	Once	Y	N	NA	NA	Excellent	SDFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
No Name	46	RI	Y	Weekly	Y	Y	FSU	P	Excellent	SCP	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
No Name	47	RI	Y	NA	Y	Y	Excellent	DCPAFC	Excellent	SC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Kate Hoyt	48	RI	Y	NA	Y	Y	Excellent	SAV	Excellent	SAV	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	June 3	NA		
No Name	49	?	Y	Once	Y	N	Good	DPA	Good	SCFCA	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Chris Boyd	50	RI	N	6y	N	N	Excellent	DPA	Excellent	3DCFCAs	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
No Name	51	RI	Y	NA	Y	NA	NA	NA	Good	SCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Richard Long	52	RI	Y	NA	Y	Y	F	Nothing	Nothing	SDCFCS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Vincenzo Comi	53	RI	Y	1aWeek	Y	Y	Poor	SCS	Good	SDCFCS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Mark McLaughlin	54	BI	Y	1aWeek	Y	Y	Good	Cars	Excellent	3DCAFCS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Al Messier	55	CT	Y	30Y	Y	Y	Excellent	SCF Car	Excellent	SCF	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	June 5	NA		
Chris Hiza	56	BI	Y	40Y	Y	Y	F-P	DABS	Good	3DCPAAs	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
No Name	57	RI	Y	Often	Y	Y	Good	DPS	Excellent	SF	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Darcy Bacon	58	BI	Y	40Y	Y	Y	Good	NA	NA	NA	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
No Name	59	RI	N	NA	N	N	Excellent	FO	Excellent	SCF	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
No Name	60	RI	Y	Often	Y	Y	Good	CPFS	Excellent	SCAF	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Michelle Caffrey	61	RI	Y	5Y	Y	N	Excellent	SDPF	Excellent	SDAFS	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Bryan Quattromani	62	RI	Y	Once	Y	N	Y	NA	Excellent	SCPS	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	June 4	NA		
Fran Dowling	63	CT	Y	Often	Y	N	F	S	Good	SC	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	June 1	NA		
Alexander Montestanto	64	RI	Y	Live Bl S	Y	Y	Good	SDFS	Excellent	SDCAFS	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	June 2	12:30		
Kerry McCloskey	66	CT	Y	5 years	Y	N	NA	NA	Good	DPS	Excellent	3DCFCAs	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	5:30	
No Name	67	CT	Y	twice	N	Y	Good	PFS	Good	SSkip	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	June 3	NA		
Kate Smalley	68	BI	Y	7Y	Y	Y	Fair	Nothing	Excellent	SCA	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	June 2	NA		
Paul Baptista	69	CT	Y	Weekly	Y	Y	Good	PS Car	Excellent	C	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	June 3	NA		
Albie and Phyllis	70	CA	Y	Weekends	Y	Y	Fair	Fair	Excellent	SCAFS	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	summer	NA		
Dan Farraro	71	CA	Y	Often	Y	Y	Good	PS Fair	Excellent	SCAFS	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	Often	NA		
Bill Golebiewski	72	BI	Y	summer	Y	Y	Fair	Excellent	Excellent	IHSF	IHSF	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	summer	NA	

Name	#	Address	BI	Often	IHSF	Int.	Rate IN	Most Imp	Rate IHSF	Most IN	Imp	VS	IHSF	Chose	Survey	T	Date	Run	
Gabriel Saad (74)	74	No Name	75	RI	Y	Y	10Y	Y	Good	AS	Excellent	SCS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Beth Marshland	76	MA	76	RI	Y	Y	often	Y	Fair	DPA Cars	Excellent	SDC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Ron Marsland	77	RI	77	RI	Y	Y	2 weeks	Y	Good	DAFS	Excellent	SDCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Tyler Foley	78	CT	79	RI	Y	Y	LY	Y	Good	PA	Excellent	SDCFCA	Int	IHSF	TF	June 5	11:30		
No Name	80	RI	80	RI	Y	Y	Once	N	Good	SDCF	Good	SDCFCA	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	
No Name	81	RI	81	RI	Y	Y	Once/Y	Y	Good	PS Car	Excellent	SCPS	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
No Name	82	MA	82	RI	Y	Y	NA	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SCFC	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Ernest Wright	83	RI	83	RI	Y	Y	Once	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	3DCFCA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Himando Updike	84	RI	84	RI	Y	Y	NA	Y	Good	DA	Excellent	SDFCAS	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Billy Bolehevik	85	MA	85	MA	Y	N	4TY	Y	Good	A	Excellent	SDCF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Bob Jewelyn	86	MA	86	RI	Y	Y	Life	Y	Good	SDCPA	Excellent	SDCPA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Kevin McCarthy	87	RI	87	RI	Y	Y	Years	Y	Fair	SDPFA	Good	SD	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
No Name	88	RI	88	RI	Y	Y	NA	Y	Fair	SCFS	Excellent	SDCFCA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
No Name	89	RI	89	RI	Y	Y	NA	Y	Good	AS	Excellent	SCF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Debra Zarrella	90	RI	90	RI	Y	Y	Own Boat	N	Good	SDS	Excellent	SS	NA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	
No Name	91	RI	91	NH	Y	Y	Once	N	Good	AS	Excellent	D	NA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	
John Dunn	92	CT	92	CT	Y	Y	Once	N	Good	DPAC	Excellent	SCPCS	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Chris Lindsay	93	RI	93	RI	Y	Y	6Yago	N	Poor	sea sick	Excellent	S	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
William Kealey	94	MA	94	RI	Y	Y	Weekends	N	Y	Excellent	SDCF	Excellent	SDCF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Casey Lewis	95	RI	95	RI	Y	Y	NA	N	NA	NA	Excellent	S	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Dianne & Heidi	96	NY	96	RI	Y	Y	2 weeks	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	All	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Doloris Nardillo	97	RI	97	RI	Y	Y	NA	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SDCFCA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
No Name	98	CT	98	RI	Y	Y	Once	N	Y	Fair	SCF	Excellent	SDCFCA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Maryanne Romanych	99	CT	99	CT	Y	N	Once/Yr	Y	NA	NA	Good	SDCS	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Don Olson	100	MA	101	RI	Y	Y	Once/Yr	Y	Once	Y	Excellent	SDCPFS	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Lori Michaud	102	RI	102	RI	Y	N	Once/Yr	NA	Y	NA	Excellent	SCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Britney D'Anbra	103	RI	103	RI	Y	N	Aug-04	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SDCF	Int	IHSF	MF	Int	Int	6:30	
No Name	104	RI	104	RI	Y	Y	Once/Yr	Y	NA	Y	Excellent	DPAFCAS	Fair	SC	Int	Int	Int	Int	
Melanie Lawton	105	RI	105	RI	Y	Y	Often	Y	Once/Yr	Y	Good	SC	Excellent	SC	Int	Int	Int	Int	
No Name	106	RI	106	RI	Y	Y	4 times	Y	Often	Y	Poor	SC Rocky	Good	SC	Int	Int	Int	Int	
Jay Flanagan	107	CT	107	CT	Y	Y	40yrs	Y	Often	Y	Excellent	SDCPAF	Excellent	SDCF	Int	Int	Int	Int	
Maria Fowler	108	RI	108	CT	Y	Y	4 times	Y	Often	Y	Good	SDCF	Excellent	SDCF	Int	Int	Int	Int	
Sean Johnson	109	RI	109	MA	Y	Y	40yrs	Y	Often	Y	Good	P Nosta	Good	SCS	Int	Int	Int	Int	

#	Name	Address	Bi	Often	IHSF	Int.	Rate IN	Most Imp	Rate IHSF	Most Imp	IN	VIS	Chose	Survey T	Date	Run
111	No Name	CT BI	Y Y	LY	N Y	N Y	Good	SDCA	Good	S	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
112	Tad Sanchez	MA RI	Y Y	NA 2Y ago	N N	Poor NA	SCAS	Good S	SCS SPS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
113	No Name	RI NH	Y Y	2 each Y	N N	Good NA	Excellent S	Excellent S	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
114	Paul Zawadski	CT	Y Y	NA	N N	Good A	Good S	SDAS	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	June 1	8:30
115	Bob Disne	CT	Y Y	4-Sep 6 times	N Y	Excellent Fair	DPAAS FS	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
116	No Name	RI CT	Y Y	1 year ago	N Y	Excellent Y	SDCAF C	Excellent	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
117	Paige Larkin	RI	Y Y	Y	N N	Excellent	DPS	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
118	Rebecca Miller	RI	Y Y	Y	N N	NA	NA	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
119	Joe Koonankiel	CT	N N	Y	N N	NA	NA	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
120	No Name	RI	Y Y	Y	N N	NA	NA	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
121	M Timlin	RI	Y Y	Y	N N	NA	NA	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
122	James Buchanon	RI	N N	Y	N N	Y	Y	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
123	No Name	CT	Y Y	Y's ago	N N	NA	NA	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
124	No Name	MA	Y Y	Weekends	N N	NA	NA	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
125	No Name	CT	N N	Y	N N	NA	NA	Good	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
126	No Name	RI	Y Y	Summers	N N	NA	NA	Fair	SDAS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
127	No Name	RI	N N	Y	N N	NA	NA	Good	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
128	Daniel Stelzner	MA	Y Y	48 Y	Y Y	Good	SDCPA	Excellent	SDCPFS	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
129	Ginay Nims	Co	Y N	Y	NA	NA	NA	Good	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
130	No Name	RI	N N	Y	NA	NA	NA	Good	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
131	Steven & Elizabeth	MA	Y Y	Once	N N	Y	Excellent	S	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
132	Karin Bonner	NY	Y Y	Once	N N	Y	Excellent	S	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
133	Valerie McGrath	MA	Y Y	Once	N N	Y	Excellent	S	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
134	Dorothy Olson	CT	N N	NA	NA	NA	Good	PAFCAS	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
135	Caitlin Fallon Murphy	BI	Y Y	Life	Y Y	Good	PAFCAS	Good	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
136	Aris Marziah	RI	Y Y	40 Y	N Y	G-E	DP	Excellent	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
137	Bill Nicholson	RI	Y Y	8-Jun	Y Y	Good	Motorcycle	Excellent	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
138	Paul knowles	RI	Y Y	6months	Y Y	Good	DPA	Excellent	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
139	C Aronan	MA	Y Y	Summers	Y Y	Good	SDA	Good	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
140	Bill Nicholson	MA	Y Y	Once	N Y	Excellent	PAS	Excellent	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
141	Valerie Goncalves	CT	Y Y	Once	N Y	Good	DPSO	Excellent	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
142	No Name	MA	Y Y	Often	N Y	Good	DCPAS	Good	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
143	George Valentine	BI	Y Y	Summers	Y Y	Good	DAF	Excellent	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
144	M. Loewenthal	NY	Y Y	Weekly	N Y	Fair	CA	Good	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
145	Makala Arcand	RI	Y Y	Yearly	N Y	Excellent	DAF	Excellent	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
146	Jeeger Shah	RI	Y Y	2 years	N Y	Fair	CA	Poor	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
147	George Defina	RI	Y Y	Good	N Y	Good	S	Good	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA
148	Joel Coger	CT	Y Y	Good	N Y	Good	PAS	Excellent	SDCPA	Int	Int	Int	Int	Int	NA	NA

Name	#	Address	BI	Often	IHSF	Int.	Rate IN	Most Imp	Rate IHSF	Most Imp	IN VS IHSF	Chose	Survey T	Date	Run
No Name	149	CT	Y	10 yrs	N	Y	G	D	Good	SAS	SS	Int	NA	NA	NA
Mary Montague	150	CT	N	NA	N	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	S	SS	Int	NA	NA	NA
Sherri DiNello	151	CT	Y	3 years	Y	Y	Good	D	Excellent	CS	SS	Int	NA	NA	NA
Kimberly Lanzire	152	RI	Y	Yearly	N	Y	Good	PAS	Excellent	SDC	SS	Int	NA	NA	NA
No Name	153	MA	Y	Once	N	Y	G	DPAS	Excellent	SDCFC	S	Int	NA	NA	NA
No Name	154	RI	N	NA	N	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SCFC	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Joey Vellone	155	RI	Y	3 years	N	Y	NA	NA	Good	SCAFS	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
No Name	156	01476	N	NA	N	N	NA	NA	Excellent	SDCFS	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Stephanie Silvia	157	RI	N	NA	N	N	NA	NA	Good	S	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ricki Vespa	158	RI	Y	NA	Y	N	Y	NA	SDC	Excellent	CS	Int	Int	NA	NA
Rebecca Donnell	159	RI	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Fair	SDCO	Excellent	SDC	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Kathy Conlin	160	RI	Y	NA	Y	Y	Y	Excellent	SDCPAS	Excellent	SDCFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Susan Hooper	161	RI	Y	2x Month	N	Y	Y	Excellent	SS	Excellent	SS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	162	VT	Y	Twice	N	Y	Y	Excellent	CS	Excellent	SC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	163	VT	Y	Once	N	Y	Y	Excellent	SFA	Excellent	CFCA	Int	NA	NA	NA
No Name	164	MA	N	NA	N	N	NA	NA	Fair	SC	Excellent	SCF	IHSF	NA	NA
Leonard	165	RI	Y	5 times	N	Y	Y	Excellent	NA	Excellent	SCS	Int	Int	NA	NA
Diane,Jenn	166	FL/ENG	Y/N	Once	N	N	NA	NA	CFC	Excellent	SCS	NA	IHSF	NA	NA
Darlene Smith	167	RI	N	NA	N	N	Y	NA	SCFPS	Good	SCPAFS	Int	NA	NA	NA
Elle Smith	168	TX	Y	NA	Y	NA	N	NA	NA	Excellent	SDCPFA	NA	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	169	NJ	Y	NA	N	N	Y	NA	SDPFS	Excellent	SDPFS	NA	IHSF	NA	NA
Brian Fortin	170	RI	N	Y	NA	N	Y	NA	SDC	Excellent	SDC	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
No Name	171	NY	Y	2 years	Y	Y	Y	NA	PAO	NA	NA	Int	NA	NA	NA
Linda Blair	172	CT	Y	Yearly	Y	Y	Y	NA	DPS	Good	SDA	NA	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	173	NA	Y	Once	Y	Y	Y	NA	PACS	Good	SC	Int	NA	NA	NA
Tiger Taylor	174	RI	Y	Yearly	NA	Y	Y	Y	Good	SCF	SCFC	NA	IHSF	NA	NA
Mark Ventres	175	CT	Y	Summers	N	Y	Y	NA	Excellent	SS	Excellent	SCFC	NA	NA	NA
Bernard	176	MA	Y	Once	Y	Y	Y	Y	DPAF	Excellent	SCFAS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
David Slone	177	RI	Y	Yearly	NA	Y	Y	Y	DPS	Excellent	SCA	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA
Joseph Slone	178	NY	N	2 X Year	Y	Y	Y	Y	Excellent	SDCS	Excellent	SDCS	IHSF	IHSF	NA
B. Falbo	179	PA	Y	NA	Y	Once	Y	Y	DPAFS	Excellent	SDCFCS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
K. Hinderhofer	180	MA	Y	Once	Y	Y	Y	Y	DPAFS	Good	SCF	NA	Int	NA	NA
No Name	181	RI	Y	Once	Y	Y	Y	Y	DPAFCS	Good	S	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
M. Holt, J. Walsh	182	RI	Y	Once	Y	Y	Y	Y	Excellent	AI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
No Name	183	N	N	NA	N	N	Y	NA	Good	NA	NA	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Steven Longhorn	184	RI	Y	Once	N	N	Y	NA	Good	NA	NA	Int	NA	NA	NA
No Name	185	NH	N	NA	N	N	Y	NA	Excellent	NA	NA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Jose Rene Espinal	186	CT	Y	NA	N	N	Y	NA	Excellent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Name	#	Address	Bi	Often	IHSF	Int.	Rate IN	Most Imp	Rate IHSF	Most Imp IN	VS IHSF	Chose	Survey T	Date	Run
No Name	187	RI	Y	Weekly	Y	Excellent	SDS	Excellent	SDCPFS	Excellent	SDCPFC/	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
J & D	188	NA	Y	Often	N	G	SDCPFS	SCFO	Excellent	SDCPFC/	IHSF	NA	IHSF	NA	June 10
Stewart Moll	189	MA	Y	4 X Year	Y	Y	SDCPFC	Excellent	SDCPAFCA	Excellent	All	Int	Int	NA	NA
Roxann VanGelder	190	NH	Y	Twice	Y	Y	SDCPAFCA	SDCAA	Excellent	SDCPAF2	Int	Int	NA	NA	NA
Robert VanGelder	191	NH	Y	Once	Y	Y	SDCAA	SDPSO	Excellent	SDCAF	IHSF	NA	Both	NA	NA
Warren Verdi	192	RI	Y	Weekly	Y	Y	SDPSO	Fair	Good	DO	SDCA	NA	IHSF	NA	NA
Joe Noel	193	RI	Y	Often	Y	Y	SDCAF	Fair	SDCS	Excellent	SDCA	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA
Andrew Wooden	194	NM	Y	Summers	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCS	PAS	Good	SCA	IHSF	IHSF	NA	NA
K. Buckley	195	RI	Y	3 years	N	Y	SDCA	Fair	PAS	Good	PAS	Int	NA	NA	NA
Steve Smith	196	RI	Y	2X weekly	Y	Y	SDCA	DA	PAS	Excellent	SCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Ginny Settimbrini	197	OH	Y	1or 2 year	N	Y	SDCA	SDCS	SCF	Excellent	SCFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Roger Vonshnider	198	NA	N	NA	N	NA	SDCA	SDCS	SCF	Excellent	SCFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Norma Seaberg	199	OH	Y	Yearly	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCS	SCF	Good	SCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
C. Sereno	200	RI	Y	26 years	Y	Y	SDCA	Fair	SF	Good	SCF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Rosemary Massari	201	CT	N	NA	N	N	SDCA	SDCA	SC	Excellent	SC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	202	RI	N	NA	N	N	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Good	SC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Elizabeth Hickman	203	RI	Y	Yearly	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	204	RI	Y	NA	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Good	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	205	CT	Y	Often	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Kathy Hewes	206	CT	Y	Often	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
John Hewes	207	CT	Y	Often	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Ronald Rozio	208	RI	Y	NA	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	209	RI	Y	NA	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	210	RI	Y	Weekly	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	211	RI	Y	NA	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Judy Meehan	212	VA	Y	3 Times	N	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Brogan Meehan	213	VA	N	NA	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	214	MA	Y	Once	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	215	RI	Y	Once	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	216	VA	Y	Yearly	NA	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Alex Hollakolaski	217	MI	N	NA	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	218	RI	Y	Yearly	NA	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Ben Nienass	219	Vetherlands	Y	Once	N	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	220	NJ	N	NA	N	N	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Kimberly Golden	221	NJ	Y	Weekly	Y	Y	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
No Name	222	RI	Y	Once	N	N	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Valerie Martin	223	TX	Y	NA	N	N	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Tammy Levasseur	224	MA	N	NA	N	N	SDCA	SDCA	PS	Excellent	SDCAFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA

Name	#	Address	BI	Often	IHSF	Int.	Rate IN	Most Imp	Rate IHSF	Most Imp IN	VS IHSF	Chose	Survey T	Date	Run
Michael Sosnowski	263	RI	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Excellent	SP	Excellent	SP	IHSF	NA	June 12	NA
Paul McGowan	264	NY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Good	SDCS	Excellent	NA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Dave Schlitter	265	RI	Y	Y	Daily	N	NA	Good	PO	Good	NA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Christel Cinelli	266	CT	Y	Y	30 years	N	Y	Excellent	S	Excellent	C	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Gary Bache	267	RI	Y	Y	20Yrs ago	N	Y	Excellent	SC	Excellent	SC	IHSF	NA	June 12	12:00
Karen Johnson	268	RI	Y	Y	4 times	Y	Y	Excellent	S	Excellent	SFC	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Kevin Duffy	269	MA	Y	Y	Many	Y	Y	Excellent	SCPFC	Excellent	SCPFC	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Abigail Saugy	270	RI	Y	Y	Last yr	N	Y	Excellent	DPAFC	Excellent	DDCF	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
No Name	271	RI	Y	Y	Yearly	Y	NA	NA	NA	Excellent	SDCF	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
No Name	272	RI	Y	Y	Yearly	N	Y	Fair	D	Excellent	SDCS	IHSF	Either	NA	NA
Nike Schlesinger	273	NJ	Y	Y	NA	N	NA	NA	NA	Excellent	SDCPAF	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Rachel Walters	274	RI	Y	Y	Since 1967	Y	Y	Fair	SC	Excellent	SCF	IHSF	NA	June 13	NA
Jeanne Schlessinger	275	BI	Y	Y	Yearly	Y	Y	Fair	SC	Excellent	SCF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Charles Peabody	276	RI	Y	Y	Summ res	Y	Y	Fair	SC	Excellent	SCF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Carmen C. Payne	277	RI	Y	Y	NA	Y	Y	Good	NA	Good	NA	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Pete Murphy	278	NA	Y	Y	Often	Y	Y	Excellent	SPF	Excellent	SF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
M. R. Thomas	279	NY	Y	Y	Many	Y	Y	Good	SDPFCAS	Excellent	SFS	IHSF	NA	NA	June 12 1:00
Joanne Maciejewski	280	CT	Y	Y	Often	Y	Y	Poor	SCFCO	Excellent	SCFC	IHSF	NA	NA	June 12 1:00
No Name	281	VA	Y	Y	Yearly	N	Y	Good	SPFS	Excellent	SPFS	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
No Name	282	PA	Y	Y	Often	Y	Y	Excellent	SDC	Excellent	All	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Tara Gibbs	283	MA	Y	Y	Occasionally	N	Y	Fair	S	Excellent	SA	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Bryan Elsworth	284	NY	Y	Y	Yearly	N	Y	Good	DS	Good	SDCS	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
No Name	285	CT	Y	Y	Yearly	N	Y	Good	AS	Excellent	SDCFS	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Patrick McKenna	286	RI	Y	Y	Yearly	N	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SFC	Int	IHSF	NA	NA
Roberto Torres	287	RI	N	N	NA	N	Y	Good	DPAS	Good	SA	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
Bob Keohgan	288	NA	Y	Y	NA	N	Y	Good	DPA	Good	SCF	IHSF	NA	NA	NA
No Name	289	RI	Y	Y	1-2 X yrly	N	N	NA	NA	Excellent	SCFC	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Natalia Scmeigalheime	290	RI	N	N	NA	N	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SDCFCS	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Craig Mason	291	RI	N	N	NA	N	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SDCFCS	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Jack Weston	292	RI	Y	Y	NA	N	Y	Good	PA	Excellent	SDCF	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Anabel Rogers	293	RI	N	N	NA	N	Y	Fair	DPA	Excellent	SDC	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Charles Simpkins	294	RI	Y	Y	NA	N	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SC	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Dan Patterson	295	RI	Y	Y	NA	N	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SCFCAS	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Richard Smith	296	MA	N	N	NA	N	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	SCFCAS	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Shane Battier	297	OH	N	N	NA	N	Y	NA	NA	Good	SCFCAS	IHSF	Int	June 13 10:00	NA
Joseph Kennedy	298	RI	N	N	NA	N	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	All	IHSF	Int	NA	NA
Paul Atkins	299	1284	Y	Y	Yearly	Y	Y	NA	NA	Excellent	All	IHSF	Int	NA	NA

Legend:

Column 1	Name of the person completing the survey.
Column 2	Number assigned to the survey (#).
Column 3	State provided in address or zip code.
Column 4	Have you ever been to BI (Y or N)?
Column 5	How long ago or how often?
Column 6	Have you ever used IHSF?
Column 7	Have you ever used Interstate Navigation?
Column 8	How would you rate Interstate Navigation's ferry?
Column 9	Please note the most important factors that influenced your answer to Question 4 (column 8). S Speed of vessel D Dependability C Comfort/luxury of vessel P Price of Service A Arrives/departs Old Harbor F Friendliness of crew C Concessions A Ability to reserve tickets S Schedule O Other
Column 10	How would you rate your experience on IHSF?
Column 11	Please note the most important factors that influenced your answer to Question 6 (column 10). S Speed of vessel D Dependability C Comfort/luxury of vessel P Price of Service A Arrives/departs New Harbor F Friendliness of crew C Concessions A Ability to reserve tickets S Schedule O Other
Column 12	Chose between Interstate to Old Harbor or IHSF to New Harbor.
Column 13	Chose between Interstate and IHSF same price both going to Old Harbor.
Column 14	Who took the survey?
Column 15	Date survey taken.
Column 16	Run. (Time of the run)

Legend Continued:

Y	Yes
N	No
NA	No Answer
Int	Interstate
IHSF	Island Hi-Speed Ferry
No Name	No name given on the survey
Y	In column 4 is years
RI	Rhode Island
CT	Connecticut
MA	Massachusetts
NY	New York
BI	Block Island
CA	California
NH	New Hampshire or New Harbor (as appropriate)
FL	Florida
VT	Vermont
ENG	England
PA	Pennsylvania
OH	Ohio
NJ	New Jersey
TX	Texas

(1)

ISLAND HI-SPEED FERRY PASSENGER SURVEY

This survey is being conducted in order to learn more about our ridership and to provide you with better service. Thanks for your cooperation!

Your name and address:

Steve Comai

Or, your ZIP code: 06855

1. Have you ever been to Block Island before?

no

yes (please indicate approximately how long ago or how often)

10 years ago

2. Have you ever used Hi-Speed Ferry's service to Block Island before?

no

yes (please indicate approximately how long ago or how often)

3. Have you ever used the other ferry service, Interstate Navigation, from Point Judith to Block Island before?

no

yes (please indicate approximately how long ago or how often)

4. How would you rate your experience on Interstate Navigation's ferry?

excellent

good

fair

poor

5. Please check off the most important factors that influenced your answer to Question 4.

- speed of vessels
- dependability
- comfort/luxury of vessels
- price of service
- arrives/departs Old Harbor

- friendliness of crew
 - concessions
 - ability to reserve tickets
 - schedule
 - other (please specify): _____
-

6. How would you rate your experience on Island Hi-Speed Ferry?

- excellent
- good
- fair
- poor

7. Please check off the most important factors that influenced your answer to Question 6.

- speed of vessel
- dependability
- comfort/luxury of vessel
- price of service
- arrives/departs New Harbor

- friendliness of crew
 - concessions
 - ability to reserve tickets
 - schedule
 - other (please specify): _____
-

8. If Interstate Navigation offered high speed ferry service to Old Harbor, at the same price as Island Hi-Speed Ferry's service to New Harbor, check which statement or statements best describe you.

- I would use Interstate's high speed ferry instead of Island Hi-Speed Ferry's service, because arriving in and departing from Old Harbor would be more convenient.
- I would not use Interstate's high speed ferry instead of Island Hi-Speed Ferry's service, because I prefer to arrive in and depart from New Harbor.
- I would not use Interstate's high speed ferry instead of Island Hi-Speed Ferry's service, because I simply prefer Hi-Speed's service.

9. If both Interstate Navigation and Island Hi-Speed Ferry offered high speed ferry service to Old Harbor, at the same price, check which statement(s) best describe you.

- I would use Interstate's high speed ferry instead of Island Hi-Speed Ferry's service.
- I would continue to use Island Hi-Speed Ferry, because I prefer its service.

Survey Taker/Date/Run MFG / 6/1/05 / 030